Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

- 1. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method comprising:
 - calculating a Levenshtein matrix of a first string and a second string;
 - determining a Levenshtein distance from said Levenshtein matrix;
 - determining a largest common substring from said Levenshtein matrix; and
 - automating at least one of data entry, processing or reporting for a database including at
- least one of said first or second strings based upon said Levenshtein distance and said largest
- common substring, the database including at least one of said first or second strings.
- 2. (Original) The method according to Claim 1, wherein determining a largest common

substring from said Levenshtein distance matrix comprises determining a longest diagonal of

equal hamming distances of a lowest value.

3. (Original) The method according to Claim 1, further comprising calculating a Levenshtein

score.

4. (Original) The method according to Claim I, further comprising determining the length of the

largest common substring.

Examining Group 2164

5. (Original) The method according to Claim 4, further comprising calculating a largest

common substring score.

6. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method comprising:

calculating a Levenshtein matrix of a first string and a second string;

determining a Levenshtein distance from said Levenshtein matrix;

determining a largest common substring from said Levenshtein matrix;

calculating a Levenshtein score as a function of said Levenshtein distance;

calculating a largest common substring score as a function of said largest common

substring;

determining a similarity between said first string and said second string as a function of

said Levenshtein score and said largest common substring score; and

automating at least one of data entry, processing or reporting for a database including at

least one of said first or second strings based upon said similarity, the database including at least

one of said first or second strings.

7. (Previously Presented) The method according to Claim 6, further comprising calculating an

acronym score of said first string and said second string.

Appl. No. 10/632,190

Amendment under 27 CER 1 116 Expedited Proceeds

Examining Group 2164

Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure

Attorney Docket No.: 021756-063100US Client Ref. No.: OID-2003-032-01

PATENT

8. (Original) The method according to Claim 7, further comprising calculating a weighted

acronym score comprising a product of said acronym score and an acronym weight factor.

9. (Original) The method according to Claim 6, further comprising:

calculating a weighted Levenshtein score comprising a product of said Levenshtein score

and a Levenshtein weight factor;

calculating a weighted largest common substring score comprising a product of said

largest common substring score and a largest common substring weight factor, and

calculating a Levenshtein/largest common substring score comprising a sum of said

weighted Levenshtein score and said weighted largest common substring score.

10. (Original) The method according to Claim 9, wherein a sum of said Levenshtein weight

factor and said largest common substring weight factor is equal to one.

11. (Original) The method according to Claim 9, further comprising calculating a first weighted

numerical score comprising a product of said Levenstein/largest common substring score and a

string weight factor.

12. (Previously Presented) The method according to Claim 11, further comprising:

calculating an acronym score of said first string and said second string;

OID-2003-032-01

Page 4 of 13

PATENT Attorney Docket No.: 021756-063100US

Client Ref. No.: OID-2003-032-01

calculating a weighted acronym score comprising a product of said acronym score and an

acronym weight factor; and

calculating a second weighted numerical score comprising a sum of said first weighted

numerical score and said weighted acronym score.

13. (Original) The method according to Claim 12, wherein a sum of said string weight factor

and said acronym weight factor is equal to one.

14. (Currently Amended) A computer-readable medium containing one or more sequences of

instructions which when executed by a computing device cause the computing device to

implement a method for determining a similarity comprising:

calculating a Levenshtein matrix of a first string and a second string;

determining a Levenshtein distance from said Levenshtein matrix;

determining a largest common substring from said Levenshtein matrix;

calculating a Levenshtein score as a function of said Levenshtein distance;

calculating a largest common substring score as a function of said largest common

substring:

calculating a first numerical score as a function of said Levenshtein score and said largest

common substring score; and

OID-2003-032-01

Page 5 of 13

automating at least one of data entry, processing or reporting for a database including at least one of said first or second strings based upon said first numerical score, the database including at least one of said first or second strings.

15. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium according to Claim 14, wherein calculating said Levenshtein score comprises:

subtracting the resultant of dividing said Levenshtein distance by an average of a length of said first string and a length of said second string from one.

16. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium according to Claim 14, wherein calculating said largest common substring score comprises:

determining a length of said largest common substring from said Levenshtein matrix; and dividing said length of said largest common substring by an average of a length of said first string and a length of said second string.

17. (Original) The computer-readable medium according to Claim 14, wherein calculating said first numerical score comprises:

calculating a weighted Levenshtein score comprising a product of said Levenshtein score and a Levenshtein weight factor;

calculating a weighted largest common substring score comprising a product of said largest common substring score and a largest common substring weight factor; and

PATENT Attorney Docket No.: 021756-063100US

Client Ref. No.: OID-2003-032-01

summing said weighted Levenshtein score and said weighted largest common substring

score.

18. (Currently Amended) The computer-readable medium according to Claim 14, further

comprising:

calculating an acronym score;

calculating a second numerical score as a function of said first numerical score and said

acronym score; and

further automating at least one of said data entry, processing or reporting based upon said

second numerical score.

19. (Original) The computer-readable medium according to Claim 18, wherein calculating said

second numerical score comprises:

calculating a weighted Levenshtein score comprising a product of said Levenshtein score

and a Levenshtein weight factor;

calculating a weighted largest common substring score comprising a product of said

largest common substring score and a largest common substring weight factor;

calculating a Levenshtein/largest common substring score comprising a sum of said

weighted Levenshtein score and said weighted largest common substring score;

PATENT

calculating a weighted Levenshtein/largest common substring score comprising a product

of said Levenshtein/largest common substring score and a Levenshtein/largest common substring

weight factor;

calculating a weighted acronym score comprising a product of said acronym score and an

acronym score weight factor; and

summing said weighted Levenshtein/largest common substring score and said weighted

acronym score.

20. (Currently Amended) The computer-readable medium according to Claim 19, further

comprising:

utilizing said first numerical score for automating said at least one of data entry,

processing or reporting, when said first string and said second string comprise numerical-type

strings; and

utilizing said second numerical score for automating said at least one of data entry,

processing or reporting, when said first string or said second string comprise character-type

strings.

OID-2003-032-01

Page 8 of 13